



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,122	10/12/2001	John Owens	INVA-FK	2411
24024	7590	04/29/2005	EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			BOTTORFF, CHRISTOPHER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/977,122	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OWENS, JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Christopher Bottorff	<b>Art Unit</b> 3618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 15-20,45-54,57,59 and 61-120 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 59,61-85,89-100 and 103-120 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 15-20,45-54,86-88 and 101 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 57 and 102 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 February 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>18</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed February 10, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-14, 21-44, 55-56, 58, and 60 are canceled. Claims 86-120 are added. Claims 15-20, 45-54, 57, 59, and 61-120 are pending.

### ***Drawings***

The replacement drawings were received on February 10, 2005. These drawings are approved.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The information disclosure statement filed March 10, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered with the exception of US 5,529,425, which was previously cited by the examiner.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 57 and 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 57 recites the limitation "said notches" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15, 20, 46-51, 53, 54, and 86-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910.

Alulyan discloses an ambulatory device comprising two side frames 35 that each have a first leg 41, 55 and a second leg 39, 55 with a cross bar/member 43 connecting the first leg and second leg at their mid-sections. See Figure 1. The first legs 41, 55 are connected by a brace 49. See Figure 1. A seat 33 with a substantially flat sitting area has first and second sections. See Figure 1. The first section is pivotally connected to the first legs of the frames. The seat 33 has a first position with a substantially horizontal orientation and a second position with a substantially vertical orientation. See Figures 1 and 2. Two downwardly curving support brackets 81 extend outwardly from the seat 33 and secure the seat 33 to the frames when the seat is in the first position by

engaging the corresponding cross bar/member 43. See Figure 1. The support brackets 81 comprise a top surface, which is attached to rods 79, and two flanges extending substantially along the top surface, whereby the flanges form downwardly extending side walls that rest along the sides of cross bar/member 43 when the seat 33 is in the first position. The support brackets 81 provide support to the device when the seat 33 is in the first position, including distributing lateral force along the brackets and across the seat 33.

The side frames 35 include a substantially u-shaped horizontal support member 37 connecting the legs 55. See Figure 1. The support brackets 81 secure the seat 33 to the cross-members 43 and each of the first and second legs 55 includes a length adjustment means (height adjustment mechanism). See Figure 1 and column 2, line 50. A locking mechanism 63, 65 is located on each of the side frames 35 and maintains the side frames 35 spaced apart and releasable, such that the side frames 35 can pivot inwardly and approximately 180 degrees when the locking mechanism is released. See Figures 3 and 4 and column 3, lines 45-52. Also, the seat 33 in the second position is at least partially in front of the first legs 55. See Figure 2.

Although Alulyan identifies the first leg elements 41, 55 as being in the rear and the second leg elements 39, 55 as being in the front, the front/rear orientation is merely a reversal of the terminology used in the present claims. The actual leg structure disclosed by applicant as being in the front or rear (i.e. the leg position relative to the brace connecting the front leg of each frame) corresponds to the structure disclosed by Alulyan as being in the rear or front respectively. Merely reversing the terminology in

the claims does not represent a patentable distinction. Since the front/rear orientation will change depending upon the operator's perspective when sitting or using the device for walking and for consistency with the terminology of the claims, for the remainder of the office action first leg elements 41, 55 are identified as the front legs and second leg elements 39, 55 are identified as the rear legs.

Alulyan does not disclose that the seat includes an undersurface comprising intersecting walls forming walled recesses with open sides. However, Brennan teaches that the practice of providing a seat 2 with walled recesses formed by intersecting walls 8 disposed on the underside of the seat was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See Figure 3 and column 2, lines 35-37 and 45-48.

The intersecting walls of Brennan are formed integrally with the seat undersurface and extend downward from the seat undersurface defining a plurality of downward openings. The walls include a first continuous wall extending under the seat from one side to another side, and second and third continuous walls extending under the seat from one side to another side substantially parallel to the first continuous wall. A first plurality of walls extends under the seat diagonal to the first second and third walls extending from one side of the seat to another side. A second plurality of walls also extends under the seat diagonal to the first, second, and third walls extending from one side of the seat to another side, and is substantially perpendicular to the first plurality of walls so that some of the downward openings are substantially rectangular when viewed from below. The walls in the first plurality of walls are substantially parallel

to each other and the walls in the second plurality of walls are substantially parallel to each other.

From the teachings of Brennan, providing the seat of Alulyan with walled recesses formed by intersecting walls disposed on the underside of the seat would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This would strengthen the seat. Also, since the support brackets of Alulyan are disposed at the side of the seat, the first second and third continuous walls extending under the seat would extend from one support bracket to the other support bracket when this obvious modification is made to the seat of Alulyan.

Claims 16, 17, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Hara et al. US 6,494,469.

Alulyan does not disclose a wheel connected to each of the front and back legs, brakes that engage the wheels on the back legs, hand brake actuators positioned on the side frames, and a back rest connecting the side frames.

However, Hara et al. teaches that providing an ambulatory device with wheels 2 and 4 respectively connected to each of the front and back legs, brakes 120 that engage the wheels on the back legs, hand brake actuators 79 positioned on the side frames, and a back rest 8 connecting the side frames was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See Figures 1-3 and column 13, lines 6-8.

From the teachings of Hara et al., connecting a wheel to each of the front and back legs of Alulyan, providing the device of Alulyan with brakes that engage the wheels on the back legs and hand brake actuators positioned on the side frames, and connecting the side frames of Alulyan with a back rest would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Connecting a wheel to each of the back legs of Alulyan would allow the device to be moved with less effort by an operator. Providing the device of Alulyan with brakes and hand brake actuators would give the operator control over the movement of the device. Also, connecting the side frames of Alulyan with a back rest would provide an operator with improved comfort and support when sitting on the seat.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Gajewski et al. US 5,579,793.

Alulyan does not disclose at least one padded region located on at least one of the side frames. However, Gajewski et al. teaches that providing a padded region 20 on side frames of an ambulatory device was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See column 2, line 66, through column 3, line 2. From the teachings of Gajewski et al., providing at least one padded region on at least one of the side frames of Alulyan would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This would assist the operator in manipulating the ambulatory device.



Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Battiston US 6,371,142.

Although brace 49 of Alulyan is provided forward of the front legs, Alulyan does not disclose that the brace is curved outwardly away from the front legs. However, Battiston teaches that providing a forwardly disposed brace 28 such that it is curved outwardly away from the front legs was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See Figures 1 and 4. From the teachings of Battiston, providing the brace of Alulyan such that it is curved outwardly away from the front legs would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This would provide the seat with adequate space behind the brace to move into the second, substantially vertical, position.

Claim 52 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Keuning US 5,887,887.

Alulyan does not disclose that the seat includes a handle. However, Keuning teaches that the practice of providing a pivoting seat with a handle 19 was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See Figure 1 and column 3, lines 2-5. From the teachings of Keuning, providing the seat of Alulyan with a handle would

Art Unit: 3618

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

This would allow an operator to easily grip the seat to move the seat.

Claim 101 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alulyan US 5,904,168 in view of Brennan US 4,733,910 as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Wohlgemuth et al. US 6,338,493..

Alulyan does not disclose that the support brackets are formed integrally with the seat. However, Wohlgemuth et al. teaches that forming support brackets 91, 92 integral with a seat 90 was old and well known in the art at the time the invention was made. See Figures 2 and 4. From the teachings of Wohlgemuth et al., forming the support brackets of Alulyan integral with the seat would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. This would reduce the number components and steps required in assembling the device. Also, forming the seat brackets integral with the seat represents an obvious design choice.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 59, 61-85, 89-100, and 103-120 are allowed as previously indicated. Claims 57 and 102 would be allowable, as previously indicated if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed February 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to Applicant's indication, the allowable limitations of canceled claim 56 were not added to claim 15. Claim 15 remains rejected. Applicant also indicates that the status of claim 83 as it depends from claim 76 is not clear. However, this claim was previously rejected on page 7 of the office action mailed August 10, 2004 over Alulyan in view of Brennan. Therefore, the presentation of the limitations of claim 83, as it depends from claim 76, in new claim 86 does not place new claim 86 in condition for allowance.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Bottorff whose telephone number is (571) 272-6692. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30 a.m. - 4:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Christopher Bottorff

